

Effect of Leaf Extract of Carica Papaya Gel as Local Drug Delivery in Periodontitis Subjects

Dr. Nagaraj B Kalburgi¹, Dr. Arati C Koregol², Dr. Anjaly Roy³, Dr. Uzma Parveen Sulthana⁴, Jayadev N Hiremath⁵

¹Professor and Head, Department of Periodontics, P.M.N.M Dental College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India
 ²Professor, Department of Periodontics, P.M.N.M Dental College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India
 *³Post graduate student, Department of Periodontics, P.M.N.M Dental College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India
 ⁴Post graduate student, Department of Periodontics, P.M.N.M Dental College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India
 ⁵Associate Professor, Hanagal Shri Kumareshwar college of Pharmacy, Bagalkot Karnataka, India
 ¹drnagarajk@rediffmail.com, ²aratikperio@yahoo.co.in, ³anjalyroy95@gmail.com, ⁴uzzu1781998@gmail.com, ⁵jayadevnhiremath@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: The destruction of tooth supporting structures as a result of an inflammatory host response is the characteristic of periodontitis. Mechanical plaque management techniques like non-surgical periodontal therapy (NSPT) is crucial for preventing these diseases. Carica Papaya leaves are rich in nutrients and vitamins and possess antibacterial, anti-fungal, properties and can be used as a reasonably safe alternative to synthetic pharmaceuticals.

Objectives: To evaluate, compare and correlate the clinical parameters at baseline and 21st day after non-surgical periodontal therapy alone and after placement of Carica Papaya gel.

Methodology: A total of 50 subjects with chronic periodontitis were selected and randomly divided into 2 groups. Group A, Non-surgical periodontal therapy alone (25), Group B, Non-surgical periodontal therapy followed by local drug delivery with Carica Papaya gel at selected sites (25). Gingival index, Plaque index, Bleeding on probing, Pocket depth, Clinical attachment level were recorded at baseline and 21st day.

Results: A statistical reduction in the clinical parameters was seen in both the groups. But comparatively Carica Papaya leaf extract gel showed more reduction in BOP, Inflammation and Probing depth when compared with group A.

Conclusion: Carica papaya gel in the form of LDD have demonstrated the efficacy and safety as adjunct products to non-surgical periodontal therapy, with notable improvements in the clinical outcomes which are attributed to their anti-inflammatory property. Hence, Carica papaya gel can be used as a promising therapeutic agent.

Keywords

Periodontitis, local drug delivery, non-surgical periodontal therapy

*Corresponding Author	How to Cite this	Article	To browse
ISSN (Online) :2583-1798	International Jou 1	rnal of Ayureda and Herbal F	Reasearch (IJAHR) Z Journals

Dr. Anjaly Roy, Department of Periodontics, P.M.N.M Dental College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India.	Kalburgi NB, Koregal AC, Roy A, Sulthana UP, Hiremath JN. Effect of Leaf Extract of Carica Papaya Gel as Local Drug Delivery in Periodon- titis Subjects. Int. J. Ayurveda Herbal Res. 2025; 3(1):01-08. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.54060/a2zjournals.ijahr.47</u>	
---	---	--



1. Introduction

The conventional description of periodontal disease is the progressive degeneration of the periodontal complex's soft and hard tissues, which can be triggered by dysbiotic microbial populations and deviant immune responses in the gingival and periodontal tissues. When dental plaque builds up near the gingival margin, it triggers an inflammatory reaction that changes microbiology and may exert catastrophic impacts on the periodontium of those who are vulnerable. The harmful effects of periodontitis extend beyond the oral cavity and are linked to various systemic diseases [1,2].

The key oral pathogens responsible for the onset and advancement of periodontitis, results in visible signs such as the formation of a periodontal pocket which act as perfect environment for bacterial colonization. Proper periodontal maintenance therapy, thorough root surface debridement, patient compliance, and commitment to oral hygiene are all necessary for achievement of long-term success [3, 4].

In addition to scaling and root planning (SRP), adjunctive systemic or local host-modulating agents like local drug delivery system (LDDS) can help in the treatment of periodontal disease with optimal results and lowest risk in pharmacological therapy. LDDs helps in controlling the release of medications that are delivered locally and are recommended as a supplement to periodontal therapy [5].

The various drug delivery systems include irrigations, fibres, films, injectable, gels, strips, microparticles, and nanoparticle systems in the management of periodontal disease. Gels are cross-linked semisolid systems where the active drug molecules are evenly distributed in a steady-state. Broad port needle syringes are used to gently administer gels containing active therapeutic agents directly into the subgingival periodontal pocket, ensuring an even distribution of the medication [6,7].

Carica papaya commonly known as papaya belongs to Caricaceae family has been used for a long time to treat a variety of illnesses, including inflammatory conditions. Its ripe fruits, unripe fruits, seeds, leaves, stem and bark possess antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, hypoglycemic, antifertility, hepatoprotective, anti-tumor, anti-hypertensive and wound healing property ^[8]. Its antibacterial properties are mainly due to the wide range of phytochemicals that are important for nutrition and medicine, such as polysaccharides, vitamins, minerals, enzymes, proteins, alkaloids, glycosides, lectins, saponins, etc. Natural antioxidants are abundant in its leaves, fruits, and seeds. Moreover, its chemical constituents, such as papain, benzyl isothiocyanate (BiTC), myricetin, rutin, guercetin, α -tocopherol, caffeic acid, and kaempferol, exhibit substantial antioxidant gualities [9,10].



Several studies have highlighted the promising potential of Carica papaya as mouthwash against few Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. Other than mouthwash form further clinical studies are needed to particularly show the efficacy of leaf extract of Carica papaya gel as local drug delivery in periodontitis subjects. Therefore, this study aimed to assess and compare the effect of Carica papaya leaf extract gel on various clinical parameters in periodontitis subjects, including plaque scores, gingival scores, periodontal pocket depth, and clinical attachment level.

2. Materials and Methods

A total of 50 subjects with chronic periodontitis aged between 25-60 years were selected from the outpatient department of Periodontics, P.M.N.M. Dental College and Hospital, Bagalkot. Ethical approval was taken from the institutional ethical committee for the study.

Keeping alpha error at 5%, power of the study at 90%, the sample size estimated was approximately 16 in each group. For follow-up study, to avoid loss due to attrition we took a total of 50 periodontitis subjects with 25 subjects in each group. The participants were divided into 2 groups as follows: (Figure 1)

Group A: Non surgical periodontal therapy alone (25)

Group B: Non surgical periodontal therapy followed by local drug delivery with carica papaya gel at selected sites (25).




Figure 1. Showing Group A and B.

2.1. Preparation of Carica papaya Gel [11, 12]

Fresh papaya leaves were collected, washed, shade dried and then grinded into small particles by mechanical grinder. 100g of powder was mixed with 500ml of ethanol and kept for 7-8 days (cold maceration). Later it was filtered by whatman filter paper and then kept inside hot air oven under 500C and the extract was prepared. The polymer (carbopol 934) was placed in water for 2 hours. Then the solvent which contains the plant extract was added to the polymer and mixed for twenty minutes. PH was adjusted with 98% triethanolamine and 0.03gms of methyl paraben was added to this mixture as preservative. Then the mixture was allowed to equilibrate for twenty four hours and the gel was prepared. (Figure 2) The preparation of Carica Papaya gel was carried out at Hanagal Shri Kumareshwar college of Pharmacy, Bagalkot.

3







The exclusion criteria of this study were patients with systemic diseases that could influence periodontal conditions, patients who have undergone periodontal therapy in the past 6 months, patients on any medications, subjects consuming tobacco in any form, pregnant and lactating females and subjects allergic to papaya.

2.2. Clinical Examination to assess Periodontal Condition

Clinical examination was performed on all the subjects using Gingival index (Loe & Silness, 1963), Plaque index (Silness & Loe, 1964), Bleeding on probing (Muhlemann & Son, 1971), Pocket probing depth (PPD) and Clinical attachment loss (CAL).

All the subjects had undergone scaling on the day of examination. Gel prepared from carica papaya leaf extract was placed subgingival as local drug delivery agent into periodontal pocket in group B subjects by using 23-gauge blunt needle with 45-degree angulation. Clinical parameters were recorded on the day of examination and 21 days after gel placement.

The data collected was analyzed using Chi-square test, independent t test or Mann-Whitney U test, Dependent t test or Wilcoxon matched pairs, Karl Pearson's correlation or Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. All the participants were clearly explained regarding the need and design of the study. A duly signed written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects willing to participate in the study

3. Results and Discussion

The results of our study showed that there was a statistical difference in the clinical parameters between both groups at day 21. The scores of changes in all parameters from baseline to 21 days in Group A and Group B not follow normal distribution. Therefore, the non-parametric tests were applied [Table 1].

4

Parameters	Groups	Groups Kolmogorov -Smirnov df Sig.		Shapiro-Wilk	df	Sig.	
PI	Group A	0.1670	25	0.0690	0.8780	25	0.0060*
	Group B	0.1150	25	0.2000	0.9680	25	0.5830
GI	Group A	0.2030	25	0.0100*	0.8010	25	0.0001*

Table 1. Normality of changes in all parameters from baseline to 21 days in Group A and Group B.



	Group B	0.1770	25	0.0430*	0.9200	25	0.0500*
вор	Group A	0.2320	25	0.0010*	0.8250	25	0.0010*
	Group B	0.1500	25	0.1520	0.9700	25	0.6400
222	Group A	0.2010	25	0.0110*	0.8750	25	0.0060*
PPD	Group B	0.1800	25	0.0360*	0.9060	25	0.0250*
CAL	Group A	0.1460	25	0.1800	0.9560	25	0.3420
CAL	Group B	0.1640	25	0.0830	0.9300	25	0.0500*

Gingival index score showed a mean difference of 0.72 in group A from base line to day 21 and group B showed a mean difference of 0.86 from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001 [Table 2].

Table 2. Showing comparison of Group A and Group B with GI scores at baseline and day 21 t	reatment.
Tuble II blowing companion of croup / and croup b man croup b that croup a companion of croup / and croup b	cathiente

Time	Group A			Group B			U-Value	Z-Value	P-Value
Points	Mean	SD	Mean Rank	Mean	SD	Mean Rank			
Baseline	1.66	0.31	26.22	1.63	0.34	24.78	294.5	0.3395	0.7342
Day 21	0.94	0.32	29.70	0.76	0.15	21.30	207.5	2.0276	0.0426*
Difference	0.72	0.33	22.18	0.86	0.24	28.82	229.5	-1.6007	0.1094

Plaque index score showed a mean difference of 0.77 in group A from base line to day 21 and group B showed a mean difference of 0.94 from base line to day 21 [Table 3].

Time	Group A			Group B			U-Value	Z-Value	P-Value
Points	Mean	SD	Mean Rank	Mean	SD	Mean Rank			
Baseline	1.69	0.32	25.34	1.67	0.26	25.66	308.5	-0.0679	0.9459
Day 21	0.92	0.29	31.32	0.73	0.12	19.68	167.0	2.8134	0.0049*
Difference	0.77	0.36	21.16	0.94	0.22	29.84	204.0	-2.0955	0.0361*

Table 3. Showing comparison of Group A and Group B with PI scores at baseline and day 21 treatment.

Bleeding on probing score showed a mean difference of 0.73 in group A from base line to day 21 and group B showed a mean difference of 0.92 from base line to day 21 [Table 4].

Time	Group A			Group B			U-Value	Z-Value	P-Value
Points	Mean	SD	Mean Rank	Mean	SD	Mean Rank			
Baseline	1.65	0.25	26.08	1.65	0.27	24.92	298.0	0.2716	0.7859
Day 21	0.92	0.27	31.46	0.73	0.24	19.54	163.5	2.8813	0.0040*
Difference	0.73	0.34	20.34	0.92	0.23	30.66	183.5	-2.4933	0.0127*

Pocket probing depth score showed a mean difference of 0.64 in group A from base line to day 21 and group B showed a mean difference of 1.00 from base line to day 21 [Table 5].

 Table 5. Showing comparison of Group A and Group B with PPD scores at baseline and day 21 treatment.

Time	Group A				Group B			Z-Value	P-Value
Points	Mean	SD	Mean Rank	Mean	SD	Mean Rank			

Baseline	1.78	0.35	21.70	2.17	0.90	29.30	217.5	-1.8336	0.0667
Day 21	1.15	0.39	28.38	1.17	0.73	22.62	240.5	1.3873	0.1654
Difference	0.64	0.38	17.72	1.00	0.34	33.28	118.0	-3.7642	0.0002

Clinical attachment level score showed a mean difference of 0.70 in group A from base line to day 21 and group B showed a mean difference of 1.07 from base line to day 21 [Table 6].

Time		Group A			Group B			Z-Value	P-Value
Points	Mean	SD	Mean Rank	Mean	SD	Mean Rank			
Baseline	2.05	0.42	21.76	2.37	0.92	29.24	219.0	-1.8045	0.0712
Day 21	1.35	0.36	29.98	1.30	0.82	21.02	200.5	2.1634	0.0305
Difference	0.70	0.30	18.20	1.07	0.37	32.80	130.0	-3.5313	0.0004

Table 6. Showing comparison of Group A and Group B with CAL scores at baseline and day 21 treatment.

A statistically significant difference in PI, GI, BOP, PPD, CAL was found at baseline, 21 days in both the groups. But intergroup comparison shows more significant difference in all the clinical parameters in group B compared to group A after the follow up of 21 days.

3.1. Discussion

The antibacterial activities of leaf extract of Carica papaya gel on periodontitis was investigated in this study. Periodontitis, one of the most common chronic diseases in the world is highly infectious one which is triggered due to bacterial colonization. Its progression can lead to the destruction of periodontium with manifestations like gingival inflammation, clinical attachment loss, alveolar bone loss, periodontal pockets, tooth mobility, bleeding on probing and pathologic migration of tooth [13].

Along with scaling and rootplaning, LDD with medications have been introduced in recent years, showing notable improvements in periodontal health. Systemic medication delivery problems, such as gastric disturbances can be reduced by the use of LDD. Chlorhexidine digluconate and tetracycline are typical synthetic drugs used as LDD but has some limitations including tooth surface discoloration, taste changes, and mucosal allergies. As a safer substitute for synthetic medications, different herbal extracts have been employed for LDD in recent years [14].

Several studies have provided evidence for the antimicrobial property of carica papaya leaves in various forms in periodontitis as well as gingivitis subjects. Vivekananda et al in their study evaluated the clinical and microbiological efficacy of Carica papaya seed extract mouthwash on periodontitis subjects. The results showed that Carica papaya seed extract mouthwash provided more favorable approach in the treatment of plaque-induced gingivitis, periodontitis and also oral malodor.^[15] In another study, Dhanu G Rao et al investigated the antibacterial efficacy of Kidodent, Probiotics, and Carica papaya Leaf extract mouthwashes in reducing Streptococcus mutans count in school children. And they concluded that Probiotics and C. papaya leaf extract mouthwashes were equally effective as Kidodent in reducing S. mutans count in saliva [16].

Ravi et al conducted a study to evaluate the antimicrobial efficacy of papaya leaves and unriped papaya fruit extracts against periodontopathogens. And the results showed that MIC for Porphyromonas gingivalis with ethanolic fruit extract was 25µg/ml and ethanolic leaf extract was 50µg/ml. MIC for Prevotella intermedia with ethanolic fruit extract and ethanolic leaf extract was 75µg/ml. MIC for Fusobacterium nucleatum with ethanolic fruit extract and ethanolic leaf extract was 25µg/ml. MIC for Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans with ethanolic fruit extract and leaf extract and aqueous leaf extract was 25µg/ml. Hence, they concluded that both the papaya fruit and leaves has antimicrobial effect against periodontopathogens [9].

In our study, GI index score showed a mean difference of 0.72 in group A from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001 and group B showed a mean difference of 0.86 from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001. Similarly Plaque index score

6

showed a mean difference of 0.77 in group A from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001 and group B showed a mean difference of 0.94 from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001. Bleeding on probing score showed a mean difference of 0.73 in group A from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001 and group B showed a mean difference of 0.92 from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001. Pocket probing depth showed a mean difference of 0.64 in group A from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001 and group B showed a mean difference of 1.0 from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001. Clinical attachment level showed a mean difference of 0.70 in group A from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001 and group B showed a mean difference of 0.70 in group A from base line to day 21 with p-value of 0.0001 and group B

All the results indicate the statistical difference in the various clinical parameters among both the groups. After finishing the 21 day study Carica Papaya leaf extract gel showed reduction in BOP, Inflammation and Probing depth when compared with group A. Also carica papaya gel can be prepared in a simple, easier and noninvasive method. Based on the findings of this study, Carica Papaya leaf extract gel can be used a promising therapeutic agent in the form of local drug delivery following the scaling and root planning in periodontitis.

4. Conclusions and Future Scope

Local drug delivery has a promising role in dentistry by improving the clinical outcomes. This study has explained the role of leaf extract of carica papaya gel in reducing various clinical parameters. Due to its anti-inflammatory properties, carica papaya leaf extract gel in the form of LDD has shown considerable improvements in clinical outcomes and proven to be both safe and effective as a supporting product to nonsurgical periodontal therapy. But still, further clinical studies are not available to prove the antimicrobial properties of carica papaya gel in periodontitis subjects. Hence more clinical trials of long term and large sample size need to be performed to evaluate the efficacy of carica papaya gel.

Source of Funding

Self

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Sedghi LM, Bacino M, Kapila YL. Periodontal disease: the good, the bad, and the unknown. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021 Dec 7; 11:766944.
- 2. Könönen E, Gursoy M, Gursoy UK. Periodontitis: a multifaceted disease of tooth-supporting tissues. J Clin Med. 2019 Jul 31; 8(8):1135.
- 3. Haque MM, Yerex K, Kelekis-Cholakis A, Duan K. Advances in novel therapeutic approaches for periodontal diseases. BMC Oral Health. 2022 Nov 15;22(1):492.
- 4. Cobb CM, Sottosanti JS. A re-evaluation of scaling and root planing. J Periodontol. 2021 Oct; 92(10):1370-8.

7

- 5. Amato M, Santonocito S, Polizzi A, Tartaglia GM, Ronsivalle V, Viglianisi G, et al. Local delivery and controlled release drugs systems: a new approach for the clinical treatment of periodontitis therapy. Pharmaceutics. 2023 Apr 21; 15(4):1312.
- 6. Rajeshwari HR, Dhamecha D, Jagwani S, Rao M, Jadhav K, Shaikh S, et al. Local drug delivery systems in the management of periodontitis: A scientific review. J Control Release. 2019 Aug 10; 307:393-409.



- 7. Sholapurkar A, Sharma D, Glass B, Miller C, Nimmo A, Jennings E. Professionally delivered local antimicrobials in the treatment of patients with periodontitis—A narrative review. Dent J (Basel). 2020 Dec 22; 9(1):2.
- 8. Nirmala R. Pharmacological activities and bioactive compounds of papaya (Carica papaya L.): A mini topical review. Int J Green Pharm. 2022 Mar 15; 16(01).
- 9. Ravi Tejeshwar Reddy R, Vandana KV, Shobha Prakash. Carica papaya: a fruitful alternative against periodontopathogens? World J Pharm Life Sci. 2017; 3(1):689-97.
- 10. Kong YR, Jong YX, Balakrishnan M, Bok ZK, Weng JK, Tay KC, et al. Beneficial role of Carica papaya extracts and phytochemicals on oxidative stress and related diseases: a mini review. Biology. 2021 Apr 1; 10(4):287.
- 11. Das T, Manna M, Rudra A. Formulation and characterization of papaya leaf gel. GSC Biol Pharm Sci. 2020 Mar 30; 10(3):089-94.
- 12. Abd Elgadir M, Salama M, Adam A. Carica papaya as a source of natural medicine and its utilization in selected pharmaceutical applications. Int J Pharm Sci. 2014; 6(1):868-871.
- 13. Kwon T, Lamster IB, Levin L. Current concepts in the management of periodontitis. Int Dent J. 2021 Dec 1; 71(6):462-476.
- 14. Tyagi P, Dodwad V, Kukreja BJ, Kukreja P. A comparison of the efficacy of scaling and root planing with application of pomegranate chip, pomegranate gel, and scaling and root planing in sufferers with adult periodontitis–A prospective study. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2021 Jan 1; 25(1):41-46.
- 15. Rangaraju VM, Mousin S, Babu HM, Dasappa S. Efficacy of Carica papaya seed extract on periodontitis: A clinico-microbiological study. Int J Oral Care Res. 2019 Apr 1; 7(2):35-42.
- 16. Rao DG, Havale R, Sara SS, Bemalgi N, Fatima BO, Kumar AY. Antibacterial efficacy of Carica papaya leaf extract, probiotics, kidodent, and placebo mouthwashes in reduction of salivary Streptococcus mutans: A double-blinded parallel designed randomized controlled trial. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2021; 39(3):291-298.